

1
2
3
4 KEVIN S. JELLISON,
5 Petitioner,

6
7 v.
8 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFFS
9 OFFICE, et al.,
10 Respondents.

11 Case No. 23-cv-00009-HSG
12 **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

13 Plaintiff filed the instant *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to the All
14 Writs Act. Dkt. No. 1. On February 21, 2023, the Court dismissed the petition with leave to
15 amend because it was unclear what relief Petitioner sought, and because the All Writs Act was not
16 relevant in this context. Dkt. No. 8. Petitioner filed an amended petition. Dkt. No. 9. On May 2,
17 2023, the Court dismissed the amended petition with leave to amend because it suffered from
18 numerous deficiencies. Dkt. No. 10. Petitioner was ordered to file a second amended petition by
19 May 31, 2023. *Id.* The deadline to file a second amended petition has passed, and Plaintiff has
20 neither filed a second amended petition nor otherwise communicated with the Court.
21 Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons and for the reasons stated in the Court's May 2, 2023 order
22 of dismissal with leave to amend, this action is DISMISSED.

23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //
27 //
28 //

1 The dismissal is without prejudice to filing a motion to reopen accompanied by a proposed
2 second amended petition that addresses the deficiencies identified in the Court's May 2, 2023
3 Order and that addresses why Petitioner failed to timely file a second amended complaint. The
4 Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of Respondent and against Petitioner, and close the file.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: 6/23/2023



7 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
8 United States District Judge